# STONEYBROOK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

# LAKE BANK EROSION EVALUATION

# MAY 2010

Prepared for:

Stoneybrook Community Development District c/o Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC 9220 Bonita Beach Road Suite 214 Bonita Springs, Florida 34135

Prepared by:



# Stoneybrook Lake Bank Erosion Evaluation Report

# **Project Description**

Johnson Engineering, Inc. personnel conducted a visual inspection of the Stoneybrook stormwater system lake banks, Lakes 3 thru 35, during April 2010. See the attached Exhibit A for the overview of the Stoneybrook stormwater lake system and assigned numbering system. The numbering system follows the 1997 Banks Engineering "Master Drainage Plan – Stoneybrook" provided in 2006. Lakes A, B, C, D, and E were numbered 30 through 35. Lakes 1 and 2 have not been constructed so the inspection covered Lakes 3 through 35.

The visual inspection of the lake bank erosion considered potential impacts to residential and golf course structures. No potential impacts to residential structures were noted.

The visual inspection noted locations of lake bank erosion and assigned a level of severity, a type of erosion, and a potential erosion cause. Each location was photographed for documenting and further review.

The severity rankings used were;

- Immediate Attention
- Hazardous to foot and landscape maintenance
- Medium Hazard
- SFWMD Compliance Concern
- Probable Future Problem

The type of erosion categories used were;

- Bank drop-off
- Bank washout/gully
- Bank washout from pipe, grate, or sprinkler
- Eroded Swale in bank
- Other

The list of potential causes used were;

- Surface waves and unstable soil
- Surface/Roof runoff concentrated to a point in the bank
- Improperly installed pipe or grate
- Lake bank greater than 4:1
- Other

In total 301 observation locations were recorded and reviewed. The attached Exhibit B lists the locations by severity ranking and subdivides the severity ranking into the type of erosion. For use in the field, the inspector divided the Stoneybrook stormwater lake system into 10 aerial maps and labeled the erosion location for reference. These maps have been scanned and attached as Exhibit C.

# Stoneybrook Lake Bank Erosion Probable Causes Discussion

The April 2010 Lake Bank Inspections used five (5) probable erosion cause factors for lake bank erosion and five (5) erosion types;

- 1. Surface Waves and Unstable Soil (typically results in Bank Drop-off)
- 2. Surface Run-off Concentrated to a Point (typically results in Gully or Washout)
- 3. Improperly Installed Pipe or Grate (typically results in Gully or Washout)
- 4. Lake Bank Slope Steeper than 4:1 (can create either Drop-off or Gully)
- 5. Other (should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis)

Two of the erosion types, Bank Washout from pipe, grate, or sprinkler and Eroded Swale in bank, had only two identified locations, Lake 27 Location 127 and Lake 11 Location 15. The Lake 27 location is a small diameter PVC pipe which should be investigated to determine its purpose and the Lake 11 location needs additional review to determine how the runoff is being concentrated to that point in the bank.

For many of the lake bank erosion locations there can be more than one potential cause such as steeper than 4:1 slope and surface waves. The different causes are for the most part related to the difference in corrective actions needed for the type of erosion so this probable cause discussion will review both the cause and type.

# Surface Waves and Unstable Soil

The most common type of lake bank erosion is a stair-step type of drop-off, **Bank Drop-off**, at the location of the bank where the lake water level stays for the longest period of time. This water level is normally near the "Control Elevation" of the stormwater lake. Stoneybrook's stormwater lake system was designed to meet South Florida Water Management District Environmental Resource Permit criteria. This means that individual lakes and, often, a series of lakes have an outfall control structure that has a stormwater release opening that is designed to meet the Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) criteria. This opening, a port, holds back water from rainstorms for a period of time eventually returning the lake to the lowest point of the opening. The lowest point of the opening is called the "Control Elevation" and is the level lakes spend the most amount of time near. During the "rainy season", typically June thru August, the lakes will spend a significant amount of time above the Control Elevation. During the "dry season", typically December thru May, the lakes spend a significant amount of time below the Control Elevation.

Rainfall, and even irrigation, runoff travels down the lake banks with the amount of water and the speed of the water increasing until it reaches the lake water level. The typical sod placed on Stoneybrook lake banks will not survive submerged under water for more than a week or two and will only slowly grow back down the bank after the water level drops. When the prolonged high water leaves after rainy season a bare portion of lake bank is exposed where excessive rain or irrigation run-off plus surface waves caused by wind can erode the bare lake bank. Areas along a bank with more stable soils experience less erosion. The lake bank soils below the sod are generally whatever soil material was excavated from the lakes during construction. There is generally some variation of soils for different areas within the overall development or even around a large lake.

The eroded soil gets distributed along the submerged surface of the lake near the exposed bank. Essentially the lake bank soil moves down the submerged bank toward the bottom of the lake. South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) considers the retrieval and placement of soil from within the stormwater lake on the exposed/eroded bank as a maintenance activity that does not require a permit. Furthermore, SFWMD uses a steeper than nine (9) inch bank drop-off as its compliance criteria meaning if SFWMD personnel perform a lake bank inspection a "Non-Compliance letter" will note the areas with a steeper than 9 inch drop-off. My understanding is that SFWMD determined that over 9 inches is a human safety hazard.

# Surface Run-off Concentrated to a Point

The second most common type of lake bank erosion is area in the lake bank that has become lower than the rest of the bank, a **Gully or Washout** type of erosion. Many times the sod is sagging because the soil underneath has traveled further down the bank and into the lake. The sod disguises the erosion. With sufficient irrigation the sod can continue to survive even though there is an air gap under the roots of the sod. If a swale was not intentionally graded and sodded during construction then the gully or washout is caused by surface run-off, runoff from either rain or irrigation, which finds or makes a slightly lower area in the yard and lake bank to find its way to the lake.

The concern with sod suspended in air is that a person stepping on this area of sod causes the sod to collapse and likely tripping the person with the potential of one or more types of an injury. Similarly, landscape maintenance equipment traveling across a suspended sod section can collapse the sod with the potential of overturning the equipment. This suspended sod, gully, or washout frequently aligns with the gap between residential buildings where roof and lot runoff is concentrated before draining to the lake. Unless there is corrective action such as collecting the runoff into a surface inlet that pipes the runoff to the lake below the water surface then washout problems will return after lake bank repairs are made.

# **Improperly Installed Pipe or Grate**

At many other residential developments there are locations where stormwater runoff is directed to an inlet or grate, and then piped either directly to the stormwater lake or to the

top of the stormwater lake bank to a second grate. This second grate is a "bubble-up" structure where the collected runoff flows out to travel down the lake bank. The field evaluation of Stoneybrook lakes did not locate any "bubble-up" structures and few plastic drainage pipes at or below the lake water level. Any small diameter plastic pipes, pipes less than 4 inches in diameter, should be investigated to determine the source of the water, an example is Lake 27 at location number 127. These small pipes are unlikely to be conveying stormwater and therefore may not be an approved for discharging into the stormwater lake.

# Lake Bank Slope Steeper than 4:1

The SFWMD ERP criteria specifies lake banks to have a slope of 4:1, a rise of 1 foot in a horizontal run of 4 feet, with an out of compliance criteria of 3.5:1. Bulkheads or seawalls and "hardened" banks, as in stone/rock covered, are allowed to a minimal degree and allowed over a larger portion of a stormwater lake if compensated by littoral areas elsewhere in the lake. Littoral Areas are a shallow shelf up to 2 feet below the Control Elevation, where aquatic vegetation will thrive. For most soil types, a 4:1 slope with active vegetation is resistant to erosion.

Non-residential area stormwater lake banks such as along golf courses are where most steep or rocked lake banks will be found. Many of the locations noted in the Priority/Severity column titled "Immediate Attention" and "Hazardous to Foot and Landscape Maintenance" are locations with visually steep appearing lake banks causing a **Bank Drop-off** or rocked bank sections with some soil erosion at the upper rock edge.

# Other

The "Other" cause category is used for locations that do not clearly fit in the more common cause types. Each of these locations needs to be evaluated in a case-by-case manner. There were 10 "Other" cause locations identified. One is at Lake 27 Location 128 that is a golf cart bridge losing its soil foundation which is recommended for "Immediate Attention". Two of these are where bank erosion has neared an existing irrigation sprinkler head resulting in the irrigation spray aggravating the bank erosion. The balance of this category are the where there is minor erosion at the interface between the earthen bank and rock covered bank.

# Lake Bank Restoration and Estimate of Probable Cost

Other residential developments in Southwest Florida are experiencing similar lake bank erosion problems. The two dominant types of lake bank erosion observed in April 2010 were **Bank Drop-off** and **Bank Washout.** Both of these lake bank problems will reoccur unless the lake bank is provided additional reinforcement. Table 1 shows seven (7) types of bank reinforcement as possible options.

Restoration Method	Description	Erosion Resistance See also Note 3	Special Considerations See also Note 1,2,3	Opinion of Probable Cost
				\$\$/lineal foot
Rip Rap	Rock or concrete pieces 3" to 6" in diameter with filter fabric	Good	Not a natural appearance and limited by SFWMD	\$75.00
Stone block retaining wall	Stone blocks, multilayer, with filter fabric	Good	Not a natural appearance and limited acceptance by SFWMD	\$20.00
Grassy Paver and GeoBlock	Open at top and bottom HDPE cells	Fair, possible undercutting by wave action	Natural yard appearance or alternate plantings	\$20.00
GeoWeb	Flexible web which can extend into lake bottom	Good	Natural yard appearance or alternate plantings	\$20.00
GeoTube	Polyester fabric tube filled with sand or organic matter	Good	Natural yard appearance or alternate plantings	\$33.00
Turf Stone Paver	Open center pavers	Fair, possible undercutting by wave action	Partial sod/turf or alternate plantings	\$25.00
DeltaLok	Sandbag	Good	Natural yard appearance or alternate plantings	\$20.00

### **CDD Lake Bank Restoration**

Note 1	SFWMD Permit Design Criteria limits the rip rap/rock, and steeper than 3.5 to 1 be existing 4:1 grassy banks to bulkhead of Modification to the existing Permit	e amount of bulkhead, ank slopes. Altering r rip rap/rock requires a
Note 2	SFWMD requires a permit modification leaves a steeper than 9" step/rise at the	if lake bank maintenance normal water line.
Note 3	Adding GeoWeb 4' to 6' into lake botto from Fair to Good while increasing the c	om will change the rating ost 50-80%.
Alternate Pla	ntings - Seasonally slightly submerged	Spartina/Cord Grass Swamp Fern Leather Fern Rhexia (Marsh Pink)

These costs are generalized and assume that significant portions of a lake bank are receiving restoration. Equipment and labor mobilization for small areas of bank repair will increase the cost per lineal foot.

Pipewort

### Lake Bank Restoration Recommendations and Opinion of Probable Costs

The field evaluation data for each lake in Stoneybrook was considered to develop a recommendation for the lake bank areas in need of restoration for lake bank drop off, bank washout repair, and improvements at existing rip rap areas. This information is shown in the Lake Recommendation Table.

For lakes that had bank areas in more than one "Severity" category the lineal feet of bank restoration or the number of bank washout locations the overall restoration extent appears in the highest "Severity" category for that lake. Two lakes, Lake 9 and 15, have lineal footage of bank restoration separated due to a portion of the area is along a golf course fairway. For both of these lakes it may be possible to separately address the fairway related bank restoration so the lineal footage was listed in the "Probable Future Problem" category.

The Opinion of Probable Costs tables include one for "Overall" to show the total estimated costs in each of the "Severity" categories and one for each "Severity" with each lake listed with its estimated restoration costs. The Stoneybrook Community Development District may want to consider a multi-year restoration project that addresses the highest severity levels initially and progress to lesser severity later. If needed this approach may be presented to South Florida Water Management District to avoid any "Non-Compliance" notifications during the restoration process.

The bank washout Opinion of Probable Cost assumes each location will need regrading, addition of stabilization material, and resodding. The Deltalok bag system and Geoweb allows for a relatively low cost flexible stabilization approach. I used \$500 per location as a general repair cost. Many small bank washouts noted in the field evaluation are located in lake bank sections that also have bank drop off restoration needs and it was assumed that the bank drop off restoration would also correct these small bank washouts.

Manufacturer's literature with pictures is included in this report to aid in the visualization of each restoration options used in the Opinion of Probable Cost.

# EXHIBIT A

# Lake Evaluation Tables

# **Immediate Attention**

Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type
7	48	Bank Drop Off	3	29	Bank washout/gully	11	15	Eroded Swale in bank
8	50	Bank Drop Off	6	41	Bank washout/gully	10	24	Other
8	51	Bank Drop Off	6	42	Bank washout/gully	27	120	Other
8	52	Bank Drop Off	6	43	Bank washout/gully			
8	53	Bank Drop Off	6	44	Bank washout/gully			
8	54	Bank Drop Off	6	45	Bank washout/gully			
8	55	Bank Drop Off	6	46	Bank washout/gully			
8	56	Bank Drop Off	8	60	Bank washout/gully			
8	57	Bank Drop Off	8	61	Bank washout/gully			
8	58	Bank Drop Off	8	63	Bank washout/gully			
8	59	Bank Drop Off	10	28	Bank washout/gully			
			11	18	Bank washout/gully			
			11	20	Bank washout/gully			
			11	21	Bank washout/gully			
			11	22	Bank washout/gully			
			16	74	Bank washout/gully			
			16	75	Bank washout/gully			
			17	79	Bank washout/gully			
			17	80	Bank washout/gully			
			17	81	Bank washout/gully			
			17	82	Bank washout/gully			
			20	70	Bank washout/gully			
			20	71	Bank washout/gully			
			20	72	Bank washout/gully			
			20	73	Bank washout/gully			
			21	140	Bank washout/gully			
			21	142	Bank washout/gully			
			22	129	Bank washout/gully			
			23	65	Bank washout/gully			
			26	94	Bank washout/gully			
			26	105	Bank washout/gully			
			27	128	Bank washout/gully			
			33	31	Bank washout/gully			
			34	34	Bank washout/gully			
			34	35	Bank washout/gully			

# Hazardous to foot and landscape maintenance

Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type
3	7	Bank Drop Off	3	18	Bank washout/gully	27	127	
3	20	Bank Drop Off	3	28	Bank washout/gully			Bank washout from
3	25	Bank Drop Off	4	34	Bank washout/gully			pipe,grate, or sprinkler
3	26	Bank Drop Off	4	35	Bank washout/gully			
3	27	Bank Drop Off	4	36	Bank washout/gully			
15	10	Bank Drop Off	4	37	Bank washout/gully			
21	144	Bank Drop Off	4	42	Bank washout/gully			
21	151	Bank Drop Off	4	46	Bank washout/gully			
22	130	Bank Drop Off	6	40	Bank washout/gully			
22	131	Bank Drop Off	6	47	Bank washout/gully			
22	136	Bank Drop Off	10	25	Bank washout/gully			
22	137	Bank Drop Off	10	26	Bank washout/gully			
24	68	Bank Drop Off	10	27	Bank washout/gully			
26	99	Bank Drop Off	10	29	Bank washout/gully			
			10	30	Bank washout/gully			
			11	17	Bank washout/gully			
			15	1	Bank washout/gully			
			15	4	Bank washout/gully			
			15	5	Bank washout/gully			
			15	11	Bank washout/gully			
			16	76	Bank washout/gully			
			16	77	Bank washout/gully			
			17	78	Bank washout/gully			
			18	90	Bank washout/gully			
			19	72	Bank washout/gully			
			19	75	Bank washout/gully			
			19	78	Bank washout/gully			
			21	145	Bank washout/gully			
			21	146	Bank washout/gully			
			26	101	Bank washout/gully			
			26	113	Bank washout/gully			
			27	115	Bank washout/gully			
			27	126	Bank washout/gully			
			28	44	Bank washout/gully			
			28	84	Bank washout/gully			
			30	60	Bank washout/gully			
			34	33	Bank washout/gully			

# Medium Hazard

Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type
3	8	Bank Drop Off	4	40	Bank washout/gully	4	43	Other
3	17	Bank Drop Off	14	49	Bank washout/gully			
3	19	Bank Drop Off	15	6	Bank washout/gully			
4	45	Bank Drop Off	15	8	Bank washout/gully			
19	79	Bank Drop Off	18	86	Bank washout/gully			
19	84	Bank Drop Off	18	91	Bank washout/gully			
21	147	Bank Drop Off	21	143	Bank washout/gully			
21	152	Bank Drop Off	25	3	Bank washout/gully			
24	67	Bank Drop Off	25	6	Bank washout/gully			
25	5	Bank Drop Off	25	13	Bank washout/gully			
25	14	Bank Drop Off	26	106	Bank washout/gully			
26	111	Bank Drop Off	27	119	Bank washout/gully			
27	114	Bank Drop Off	28	31	Bank washout/gully			
28	30	Bank Drop Off	28	32	Bank washout/gully			
28	57	Bank Drop Off	28	41	Bank washout/gully			
28	61	Bank Drop Off	28	46	Bank washout/gully			
			28	65	Bank washout/gully			

# SFWMD Compliance Concern

Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type
3	9	Bank Drop Off	4	38	Bank washout/gully	4	41	Other
3	13	Bank Drop Off	4	39	Bank washout/gully	4	44	Other
3	24	Bank Drop Off	6	36	Bank washout/gully			
3	30	Bank Drop Off	6	37	Bank washout/gully			
3	31	Bank Drop Off	6	38	Bank washout/gully			
3	32	Bank Drop Off	6	39	Bank washout/gully			
4	1	Bank Drop Off						
9	63	Bank Drop Off						
9	68	Bank Drop Off						
9	69	Bank Drop Off						
12	55	Bank Drop Off						
15	9	Bank Drop Off						
18	89	Bank Drop Off						
19	81	Bank Drop Off						
21	139	Bank Drop Off						
21	141	Bank Drop Off						
21	148	Bank Drop Off						
21	150	Bank Drop Off						
22	132	Bank Drop Off						
22	135	Bank Drop Off						
24	69	Bank Drop Off						
25	11	Bank Drop Off						
25	12	Bank Drop Off						
25	20	Bank Drop Off						
26	93	Bank Drop Off						
26	95	Bank Drop Off						
26	100	Bank Drop Off						
26	102	Bank Drop Off						
26	110	Bank Drop Off						
27	117	Bank Drop Off						
28	35	Bank Drop Off						
28	36	Bank Drop Off						
28	38	Bank Drop Off						
28	77	Bank Drop Off						
32	57	Bank Drop Off						
32	58	Bank Drop Off						
34	32	Bank Drop Off						

### Probable Future Problem

Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type	Lake #	Location #	Erosion Type
3	4	Bank Drop Off	26	103	Bank Drop Off	27	122	Bank washout/gully
3	5	Bank Drop Off	26	104	Bank Drop Off	27	123	Bank washout/gully
3	6	Bank Drop Off	26	107	Bank Drop Off	27	124	Bank washout/gully
3	14	Bank Drop Off	26	108	Bank Drop Off	28	21	Bank washout/gully
3	15	Bank Drop Off	26	109	Bank Drop Off	28	25	Bank washout/gully
3	16	Bank Drop Off	26	112	Bank Drop Off	28	26	Bank washout/gully
3	21	Bank Drop Off	27	116	Bank Drop Off	28	29	Bank washout/gully
3	22	Bank Drop Off	27	118	Bank Drop Off	28	34	Bank washout/gully
3	23	Bank Drop Off	27	125	Bank Drop Off	28	45	Bank washout/gully
3	33	Bank Drop Off	28	22	Bank Drop Off	15	7	Other
4	2	Bank Drop Off	28	23	Bank Drop Off	25	17	Other
4	3	Bank Drop Off	28	24	Bank Drop Off	25	18	Other
4	47	Bank Drop Off	28	27	Bank Drop Off	25	19	Other
5	48	Bank Drop Off	28	28	Bank Drop Off	27	121	Other
5	49	Bank Drop Off	28	33	Bank Drop Off	28	64	Other
9	62	Bank Drop Off	28	37	Bank Drop Off			
9	64	Bank Drop Off	28	39	Bank Drop Off			
9	65	Bank Drop Off	28	40	Bank Drop Off			
9	66	Bank Drop Off	28	42	Bank Drop Off			
9	67	Bank Drop Off	28	43	Bank Drop Off			
11	12	Bank Drop Off	28	47	Bank Drop Off			
12	52	Bank Drop Off	28	48	Bank Drop Off			
12	53	Bank Drop Off	28	49	Bank Drop Off			
12	54	Bank Drop Off	28	50	Bank Drop Off			
13	70	Bank Drop Off	28	51	Bank Drop Off			
13	71	Bank Drop Off	28	52	Bank Drop Off			
15	2	Bank Drop Off	28	53	Bank Drop Off			
15	3	Bank Drop Off	28	54	Bank Drop Off			
18	88	Bank Drop Off	28	55	Bank Drop Off			
19	73	Bank Drop Off	28	56	Bank Drop Off			
19	74	Bank Drop Off	28	58	Bank Drop Off			
19	76	Bank Drop Off	28	59	Bank Drop Off			
19	77	Bank Drop Off	28	60	Bank Drop Off			
19	80	Bank Drop Off	28	62	Bank Drop Off			
19	82	Bank Drop Off	28	63	Bank Drop Off			
19	83	Bank Drop Off	28	66	Bank Drop Off			
21	138	Bank Drop Off	28	67	Bank Drop Off			
21	149	Bank Drop Off	28	68	Bank Drop Off			
22	133	Bank Drop Off	28	69	Bank Drop Off			
22	134	Bank Drop Off	28	70	Bank Drop Off			
23	66	Bank Drop Off	28	71	Bank Drop Off			
25	1	Bank Drop Off	28	72	Bank Drop Off			
25	2	Bank Drop Off	28	73	Bank Drop Off			
25	4	Bank Drop Off	28	74	Bank Drop Off			
25	7	Bank Drop Off	28	75	Bank Drop Off			
25	8	Bank Drop Off	28	76	Bank Drop Off			
25	9	Bank Drop Off	28	78	Bank Drop Off			
25	10	Bank Drop Off	28	86	Bank Drop Off			
25	15	Bank Drop Off	29	50	Bank Drop Off			
25	16	Bank Drop Off	29	51	Bank Drop Off			
26	96	Bank Drop Off	30	61	Bank Drop Off			
26	97	Bank Drop Off	32	56	Bank Drop Off			
26	98	Bank Drop Off	35	92	Bank Drop Off			

# EXHIBIT B

Lake Maps



		0 150 SCA	300 LE IN FEE	600 T	
A SALE		L	ake Inventory		and a
	Lake No.	Area (sq ft) (+/-)	Acreage (+/-)	Perimeter (ft) (+/-)	
	2	proposed	proposed	proposed	
	3	487,771.25	11.2	3,061	
	5	230,946.74 56,589.06	1.3	1,069	
	6	282,250.24	6.5	4,268	
	7	85,925	2	1,278	
	9	35,383.42	0.8	800	
	10	170,529.81	3.9	2,633	Y.
	11	105,242.65 19.419	2.4 0.45	2,445 683	-
Contraction of the second	13	30,528.89	0.7	783	
LANDER DE	14	51,161.34	1.2	1,271	
	16	38,527	4.2 0.9	∠, <i>1 3∠</i> 769	A C
	17	89,704	2.1	1,288	
	18	36,696.89 78.098.45	0.84	808 1.347	
	20	92,006.80	2.1	1,519	
States and the second	21	179,911.50	4.13	2,552	A.
Contract Proventies	23	112,166.93	2.6	1,628	
1	24	129,462.37	3	1,873	9
	25	201,277.14	4.6	3,734	
	27	315,653	7.3	4,501	
	28	17,022.41	0.4	6,334 517	
	30	29,247.36	0.67	792	
	31	21,114.82	0.84	827 589	
	33	17,100.16	0.4	530	
	34	97,915.08	2.25	1467 480	
	Total	4,241,631.90	97.5	63,314	
TRON ON BRIXHAM R	UN 1000				
ALL A			LAKE E (#35)		

DATE	PROJECT NO.	FILE NO.	SCALE	SHEET
April, 2010		00-00-00	As Shown	1 Of 1



Lee County, Florida

\\Ftms01\Proj-jei\20034037\dwg\Stoneybrook-BASE.dwg (C-1) ap May 24, 2010 - 2:59pm



00-00-00

As Shown

C-1

20034037

May 2010





(Ftms01\Proj-jei\20034037\dwg\Stoneybrook-BASE.dwg (C-3) ap May 24, 2010 - 3:05pr







2122 JOHNSON STRET P.O. BOX 1550 FORT MYERS, FLORIDA 33902– PHONE (239) 334–0046 FAX (239) 334–3661 E.B. #642 & L.B. #642

# SCALE IN FEE BANK RESTORATION 🗖 0 WASHOUT REPAIR

-1550		Stor Lakes	neybrook C 8, 16, 17, 1	DD 9 & 20	
	DATE	PROJECT NO.	FILE NO.	SCALE	SHEET
	May 2010	20034037	00-00-00	As Shown	C-4



-1550		Stor L	neybrook C akes 9 & 1	CDD 3	
	DATE	PROJECT NO.	FILE NO.	SCALE	SHEET
	May 2010	20034037	00-00-00	As Shown	C-5





2122 JOHNSON STREET P.O. BOX 1550 FORT MYERS, FLORIDA 33902-1550 PHONE (239) 334-0046 FAX (239) 334-3661 E.B. #642 & L.B. #642









\\Ftms01\Proj-jei\20034037\dwg\Stoneybrook-BASE.dwg (C-9) ap May 24, 2010 - 3:16pm



2122 JOHNSON STREET P.O. BOX 1550 FORT MYERS, FLORIDA 33902-1550 PHONE (239) 334-0046 FAX (239) 334-3661 E.B. #642 & L.B. #642







# EXHIBIT C

# Opinion of Probable Cost

Lake ID	Immediate	Attention	Hazardous to foot and landscape maintenance		Medium Hazard		SFWMD Conc	SFWMD Compliance Concern		Probable Future Problem	
	Bank/Drop	Gully	Bank/Drop	Gully	Bank/Drop	Gully	Bank/Drop	Gully	Bank/Drop	Gully	
	LF	#	LF	#	lF	#	LF	#	ĿF	#	
3	-	-	2400	5	*	-	*	-	*	-	
4	-	-	-	3	600	1	*	-	*	-	
5	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	400	1	
6	-	R	-	-		R		-	450	1	
7	220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	800	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	320	-	80	-	
10	-	1	-	R		-	-	-	-	-	
11	-	7	-	-		-	-	-	100	-	
12	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	280	-	
13	-	-	-	-		-	850	-	-	-	
14	-	-	-	-		1	-	-	-	-	
15	-	-	100	-	*	-	850	-	100	-	
16	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18	-	-	-	-	*	-	800	-	*	-	
19	-	-	-	-	1000	-	*	-	*	-	
20	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21	-	-	2150	-	*	2	*	-	*	-	
22	-	2	2500	-	*	-	*	-	*	-	
23	-	-	-	-			-	-	700	-	
24	-	-	400	-		-	-	-	-	-	
25	-	-	-	-	950	-	*	R	*	-	
26	-	3	240	-	*	-	*	-	*	-	
27	-	-	-	2	600	-	-	-	-	R	
28	-	-	-	-	3500	-	*	-	*	-	
29	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	320	-	
30 (A)	_	-	-	1	-	-	_	-	100	-	
31 (B)	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	
32 (C)	_	_	_	_		-	550	_		_	
33 (D)	_	1	-	_		-		-			
34											
(Irrigation)	-	R	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
35 (E)	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	150	-	
TOTAL	1970	18	7990	11	6650	4	3370	0	2680	2	
	R = Gradin	g at top of	Rip Rap								



2122 JOHNSON STREET P.O. BOX 1550 FORT MYERS, FLORIDA 33902-PHONE (239) 334-0046 FAX (239) 334-3661 E.B. #642 & L.B. #642

-1550		Stor	neybrook C	DD											
	DATE	PROJECT NO.	FILE NO.	SCALE	SHEET										
	May 2010	20034037	00-00-00	As Shown	1 OF 1										

IMMEDIATE ATTENTION							HAZARDOUS TO FOOT and LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE								MEDIUM HAZARD											
mmediate Lake #	Bank/Drop	Rip Rap Permit Mod Required	Stone block retaining wall	Grassy Paver and GeoBlock	GeoWeb	GeoTube	TurfStone Paver	DeltaLok	Hazardou s	Bank/Dro p	Rip Rap Permit Mod Required	Stone block retaining wall	Grassy Paver and GeoBlock	GeoWeb	GeoTube	Turf Stone Paver	DeltaLok	Medium Hazard	n Bank/Dro P	Rip Rap Permit Mod Required	Stone block retaining wall	Grassy Paver and GeoBlock	GeoWeb	GeoTube	Turf Stone Paver	DeltaLo
	LF	\$75.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$33.00	\$25.00	\$20.00	Lake #	1E	\$75.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$33.00	\$25.00	\$20.00	Lake #	IF	\$75.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$33.00	\$25.00	\$20.0
7	220		4 400	4.400	4 400	7 260	5 500	4.400	3	2400		48.000	48.000	48.000	79.200	60.000	48.000	4	600		12,000	12 000	12,000	19,800	15.000	12
8	800		16,000	16,000	16,000	26 400	20,000	16,000	15	100		2,000	2 000	2 000	3 300	2 500	2 000	19	1000		20,000	20,000	20,000	33,000	25,000	20
16	200		£ 000	6,000	6,000	20, 700 9 900	7 500	6.000	21	2150		42,000	/12,000	43.000	70.950	52,500	/12.000	25	950		19,000	19 000	19,000	21 250	23,000	10
17	500		10,000	12,000	12,000	3,300	16.050	12,000	21	2130		43,000	F0.000	43,000	70,350	53,730	45,000	23	500		13,000	13,000	13,000	10,000	25,750	13
1/	050		15,000	15,000	12,000	21,430	10,230	15,000	22	400		8,000	8.000	8.000	13 200	10.000	8.000	21	3500		70.000	70.000	70.000	115.500	87,500	12,
OTAL	1970	\$147,750	\$39,400	\$39,400	\$39, 400	\$65,010	\$49, 250	\$39,400	26	240		4,800	4,800	4, 800	7,920	6,000	4, 800					,	,	,	,	
									34	200		4,000	4,000	4,000	6, 600	5,000	4,000	TOTAL	6650	\$498,750	\$133,000	\$133,000	\$133,000	\$219,450	\$166,250	\$133
									TOTAL	7990	\$599,250	\$159,800	\$159,800	\$159,800	\$263,670	\$199,750	\$159, 800									
			SFWMDC	OMPLIANCE	CONCERN							PROBABL	E FUTURE F	ROBLEM					BANK	DROP OVE	RALL REST	ORATION C	PINION OF	F PROBA BL	<u>E COST</u>	
Hazardous Lake #	Bank/Drop	Rip Rap Permit Mod Required	Stone block retaining wall	Grassy Paver and GeoBlock	GeoWeb	GeoTube	TurfStone Paver	DeltaLok	Hazardou s	Bank/Dro P	Rip Rap Permit Mod Required	Stone block retaining wall	Grassy Paver and GeoBlock	GeoWeb	GeoTube	Turf Stone Paver	DeltaLok	Severity Type	/ Bank/Dro p	Rip Rap - Permit Modificatio	Stone block retaining wall	Grassy Paver and GeoBlock	GeoWeb	GeoTube	Turf Stone Paver	Deltal
	LF	\$75.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$33.00	\$25.00	\$20.00		LF	\$75.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$33.00	\$25.00	\$20.00		LF	\$75.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$33.00	\$25.00	\$20.0
9	320		6,400	6,400	6,400	10, 560	8,000	6,400	5	400		8,000	8,000	8,000	13,200	10,000	8,000	Immedia	at 1970		39,400	39, 400	39, 400	65,010	49,250	39,
13	850		17,000	17,000	17,000	28,050	21,250	17,000	6	450		9,000	9,000	9,000	14,850	11,250	9,000	Hazardou	u: 7990		159,800	159,800	159,800	263,670	199,750	159,
15	850		17,000	17,000	17,000	28,050	21,250	17,000	11	80		1,600	2,600	1,600	2,640	2,000	1,600	SEWMD	3370		133,000	133,000	133,000	219,450	166,250 84,250	133,
32 C	550		11,000	11,000	11,000	18,150	13,750	11,000	12	280		5,600	5,600	5,600	9,240	7,000	5,600	Probable	2680		53,600	53,600	53,600	88,440	67,000	53,
- 6	1.50		- Sec. 24						15	100		2,000	2,000	2,000	3,300	2,500	2,000		5.53	PERMIT						
OTAL	3370	\$252,750	\$67,400	\$67,400	\$67, 400	\$111,210	\$84, 250	\$67,400	23	700		14,000	14,000	14,000	23,100	17,500	14,000	TOTAL	22660	MOD	\$453,200	\$453,200	\$453,200	\$747,780	\$566, 500	\$453,
	_			-					29 30(A)	320		5,400	2,000	2,000	10,560	2,000	6,400			REQUIRE						<u> </u>
									35	150		3,000	3,000	3,000	4,950	3,750	3,000			OVERALL WASHOUT RESTORATION						
														_												
-									TOTAL	2680	\$201,000	\$53,600	\$53,600	\$53,600	\$88, 440	\$67,000	\$53,600				Severity	Gully	DeltaLok			
												-									Туре		\$500 per			
			_																			#	location			
																					Immediat	18	9,000			
																					Hazardou	11	5, 500			
				-																	Medium	4	2,000			
																					Probable	2	1.000			
																					TOTAL	35	\$17,500			
_				·																						
																					1					
								Stoneybr	rook			IC	)H	NS	5 <b>⊕</b>	N	2122 J P.C FORT MYERS,	OHNSON STREET 0. BOX 1550 FLORIDA 33902	r 2—1550			Stone	ybrook	CDD		
								Lee County,	Florida			ΕN	GIN	N F F	RTN	N G	FAX ( E.B. #6	(239) 334-004 239) 334-3661 642 & L.B. #642	2	DATE	PROJEC	T NO. FIL	E NO.	SCALE	SHE	ET